

Journal of Research in Education, SXCE, Patna

Vol. 13 No. 1 June, 2025

ISSN (P) : 2347-5676

ISSN (O) : 2582-2357

Journal of Research in Education

(A Peer Reviewed and Refereed Bi-annual Journal)
(SJIF Impact Factor 5. 196)



St. Xavier's College of Education
(Autonomous)
P.O.. Digha Ghat, Patna - 800 011 (Bihar)

Vol. 13 No. 1
June, 2025

CONTENT & AUTHOR

1	Managing Learning and Intellectual Disabilities- The Agenda for 2047 Bharat	1
	Prof. Lalit Kumar	
2	Higher Education in the Digital Age: Possibilities and Challenges	37
	Dr. Prakash Louis	
3	Strengthening a Self-Reliant Economy through Malaviya's Ideals and NEP 2020	47
	Dr. M. Shamsath Begam	
4	Educational Aspirations of University Girl Students: A Study of Lucknow	65
	Dr. Rajesh Ekka	
5	Strengthening Emotional Competencies to Reduce Conflict	85
	Dr. Pratheesh P	
6	Analysis of Multiple Intelligence in Activities of Eighth-grade Science Textbooks	105
	Dr. Aribam Pratima Devi	
7	Integrating Technology in Education: Insights from NEP 2020	117
	Sandip Das & Dr. Sanjay Singh Yadav	
8	Computational Thinking in Education: A Key to Enhancing Analytical and Problem-Solving Abilities	125
	Antaryami Hissaria & Prof. Jatinder Grover	
9	The Impact of Mental Fog on Memory Retention in Digital Learning	139
	Ms. Kavita Sharma & Dr. Manju Gera	
10	Neuroeducational Insights into Cognitive Enhancement Via Multilingual Practices	151
	Ms. Preksha & Dr. Kanwalpreet Kaur	
11	Thematic Analysis of Parental Involvement and Its Impact on Social Science Learning Among Tribal and Non-Tribal Girls in West Bengal	163
	Tanmoyee Bhattacharjee & Jyoti Sankar Pradhan	

- 12 Exploring the Impact of Social Media Addiction on Students’
Learning Strategies, Engagement, and Academic Performance 177
Ms. Preety & Prof. Rekha Rani
- 13 English Language Competence of Government School Teachers
of Punjab 189
Dr. Varinder Singh & Dr. Shamim Aara Hussain



Ms. Preksha

Research scholar,
Department of Education,
Panjab University, Chandigarh,
Email: Kataria.preksha@gmail.com

Dr. Kanwalpreet Kaur

Assistant professor,
Institute of Educational Technology
and Vocational Education,
Panjab University, Chandigarh,
Email: Sherrysidhu@rediffmail.com

10

Neuroeducational Insights into Cognitive Enhancement Via Multilingual Practices

Abstract

In an increasingly multilingual and cognitively demanding world, understanding how language shapes learning is more crucial than ever. This study explores the powerful synergy between neuroeducation and multilingualism, uncovering how multilingual experiences enhance brain development and cognitive performance. Drawing from a systematic review of recent interdisciplinary research, the study reveals that multilingual learners exhibit superior executive functions—such as cognitive flexibility, working memory and inhibitory control—fueled by heightened neuroplasticity and strengthened neural pathways. Despite this, educational systems often fail to harness these advantages due to prevailing monolingual ideologies and limited application of neuroscience-informed teaching practices. The study identifies and analyzes key pedagogical strategies grounded in neuroscientific evidence, including translanguaging, task-based multilingual instruction and cognitive training, all of which empower educators to tap into the full cognitive potential of linguistically diverse learners. Concluding with practical recommendations, this research calls for a paradigm shift in educational policy and practice—one that not only embraces multilingualism as a cultural asset but also recognizes it as a scientifically grounded pathway to cognitive and academic excellence.

Keywords: Neuroeducation, Multilingualism, Cognitive Enhancement, Executive Function, Translanguaging

Introduction

In recent decades, educational research has increasingly adopted interdisciplinary approaches, integrating insights from neuroscience into educational theory and practice (Howard-Jones, 2014). The convergence of neuroscience and education has given rise to neuroeducation—a rapidly emerging field dedicated to applying neuroscientific findings to improve educational outcomes, instructional strategies and learning environments (Ansari & Coch, 2006; Dubinsky, Roehrig, & Varma, 2013). Neuroeducation aims primarily to bridge the gap between laboratory-based neuroscientific research about brain functioning and the practical pedagogical methods educators use in real-world classrooms, offering scientifically informed solutions to enhance cognitive abilities and overall academic achievement (Thomas, Ansari, & Knowland, 2019). Simultaneously, global shifts toward cultural and linguistic diversity have underscored the increasing significance of multilingualism within educational settings. Researchers have established that multilingualism is associated with a variety of cognitive advantages, including superior executive functioning, enhanced cognitive flexibility, improved problem-solving abilities and greater metalinguistic awareness (Bialystok, 2017; Kroll & Dussias, 2017; Poarch & Krott, 2019). Multilingual learners, who regularly manage multiple language systems, develop advanced cognitive control mechanisms—specifically inhibition, working memory and attentional control—that are fundamental to academic success (Antoniou, 2019; Bialystok, Craik, Green, & Gollan, 2009).

The intersection of neuroscience and multilingual education has illuminated critical insights regarding the underlying neural mechanisms that facilitate cognitive enhancement through language experiences (Li, Legault, & Litcofsky, 2014). Neuroscientific studies utilizing neuroimaging techniques have documented structural and functional brain adaptations arising from sustained multilingual practices (Abutalebi & Green, 2016; Luk & Pliatsikas, 2016). For instance, multilingual individuals display increased grey matter density in brain regions related to language control, such as the anterior cingulate cortex and prefrontal areas, alongside strengthened white matter connections facilitating efficient communication between language and executive processing regions (Li & Grant, 2016). These neural adaptations exemplify neuroplasticity—the brain's capacity to reorganize and adapt structurally and functionally in response

to environmental stimuli and experiences (Li et al., 2014). Despite accumulating neuroscientific evidence highlighting multilingualism's cognitive benefits, educational institutions continue to encounter challenges in effectively harnessing these advantages within classroom instruction (Cummins, 2021). Traditional pedagogical frameworks frequently adopt deficit-oriented perspectives toward linguistic diversity, often perceiving multilingualism as a barrier rather than as a cognitive resource. This stance results in classroom practices that marginalize rather than embrace learners' linguistic and cultural repertoires, ultimately limiting students' cognitive and academic potential (García & Wei, 2014). Therefore, shifting from traditional deficit-focused educational models toward strength-based, neuroeducation-informed multilingual approaches is imperative for fostering inclusive, cognitively enriching educational environments (Kroll & Bialystok, 2013).

Recent neuroeducational research provides compelling evidence supporting multilingualism's role in enhancing learners' cognitive flexibility and adaptive problem-solving skills (Poarch & Krott, 2019). For instance, Bialystok (2017) reports that bilingual and multilingual students typically demonstrate stronger inhibitory control, allowing them to selectively attend to relevant information while efficiently suppressing distractors—skills closely tied to academic performance and learning outcomes. Additionally, Kroll and Dussias (2017) emphasize multilingualism's positive influence on cognitive flexibility, which enables learners to rapidly shift between different linguistic and cognitive tasks, thus improving their adaptability within diverse educational contexts. Moreover, the cognitive benefits associated with multilingualism extend beyond childhood and adolescence, contributing to lifelong learning and cognitive health. Neuroscientific findings suggest that multilingualism may delay the onset of age-related cognitive decline, providing a sustained advantage across the lifespan (Bak, Nissan, Allerhand, & Deary, 2014; Craik, Bialystok, & Freedman, 2010). Such longitudinal cognitive advantages underscore multilingualism's broader relevance, highlighting the importance of adopting neuroeducational strategies to foster sustained cognitive development throughout an individual's educational trajectory.

Implementing neuroeducation-informed multilingual strategies requires educational practitioners to recognize multilingualism as a valuable cognitive resource, encouraging pedagogical methods such as translanguaging and

integrative language practices (García & Wei, 2014). Translanguaging involves the strategic use of learners' entire linguistic repertoires to enhance cognitive engagement, facilitating deeper conceptual understanding and critical thinking (Antoniou, 2019). Neuroscience-based evidence supports translanguaging practices by indicating that engaging multiple language systems simultaneously recruits extensive neural networks, thus amplifying cognitive processing capacities (Li et al., 2014). Despite the promise of neuroeducation-informed multilingual strategies, several barriers hinder their effective implementation within educational contexts. Predominantly, educators frequently lack sufficient professional training in neuroscience-informed multilingual pedagogies, limiting their capability to translate neuroscientific findings into practical classroom applications (Dubinsky et al., 2013). Furthermore, prevailing educational policies, curriculum frameworks and institutional attitudes toward linguistic diversity often perpetuate deficit-based views, creating systemic resistance to adopting innovative multilingual instructional strategies (Cummins, 2021). Addressing these challenges necessitates targeted professional development initiatives and policy interventions, fostering interdisciplinary collaboration among neuroscientists, educational researchers, policymakers and educators (Thomas et al., 2019).

The present study aims to address this critical research gap by exploring neuroeducational insights into cognitive enhancement through multilingual practices. Specifically, this study seeks to identify neuroscience-informed educational strategies capable of leveraging multilingual learners' enhanced cognitive skills, thereby improving academic performance and promoting inclusive educational environments. By synthesizing findings from cognitive neuroscience and multilingual education research, this study offers practical implications and strategic recommendations for educators to effectively integrate multilingualism as a cognitive asset in classroom settings. The intersection of neuroeducation and multilingualism presents transformative potential for educational practice, promoting cognitive enrichment, academic achievement and inclusivity within linguistically diverse classrooms. Through critical engagement with existing neuroscientific literature, educational theories and pedagogical practices, this study underscores the importance of adopting interdisciplinary, evidence-based approaches to multilingual education, ultimately contributing to enhanced cognitive development and sustained academic success among diverse student populations.

Significance of the Study

The significance of this study lies in its capacity to bridge neuroscience and educational practice, specifically by illuminating how multilingual experiences enhance cognitive functioning in learners. By synthesizing neuroeducational insights with multilingual strategies, the study contributes to transforming conventional classroom practices that often marginalize linguistic diversity into more inclusive and cognitively enriching environments. Additionally, the findings offer educators practical, evidence-based approaches to leverage multilingualism as a pedagogical asset, thereby potentially improving student academic achievement and cognitive development. Ultimately, this research addresses existing gaps in teacher training and curriculum design, promoting interdisciplinary collaboration that may enhance educational policy and practice, fostering positive outcomes for linguistically diverse student populations.

Methodology

The study employed a qualitative research approach, specifically adopting a systematic literature review method to investigate neuroeducational insights into cognitive enhancement through multilingual practices. Data collection involved a comprehensive search of scholarly literature, utilizing electronic databases such as ERIC, Google Scholar, PsycINFO, PubMed, Web of Science and Scopus, covering publications from 2010 to 2024. Search terms included combinations like “neuroeducation,” “multilingualism,” “cognitive enhancement,” “neuroscience and education,” and “multilingual cognitive benefits.” Inclusion criteria were set to select peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books and conference proceedings directly relevant to the intersection of neuroscience, multilingual education and cognitive psychology. Thematic analysis was used to identify, categorize and interpret key findings, ultimately providing an integrative synthesis of neuroeducational strategies suitable for multilingual educational contexts.

Objectives of the Study

- A. To explore neuroeducational insights on cognitive enhancement associated with multilingual practices in educational settings.
- B. To identify neuroscience-informed educational strategies that effectively leverage multilingual learners’ cognitive advantages.

- C. To provide practical pedagogical recommendations for integrating multilingualism into classroom teaching based on neuroscientific evidence.

Findings of the Study

A. Neuroeducational Insights on Cognitive Enhancement Associated with Multilingual Practices in Educational Settings

The systematic review of literature revealed several neuroeducational insights linking multilingualism with enhanced cognitive functioning in educational contexts. First, multilingual learners demonstrated superior executive functioning, particularly in areas of cognitive flexibility, inhibitory control and working memory (Bialystok, 2017; Poarch & Krott, 2019). Neuroscientific studies attributed these advantages to multilingual individuals' frequent engagement in complex linguistic tasks, such as language switching and managing competing linguistic systems, resulting in improved neural efficiency and adaptability (Abutalebi & Green, 2016). Second, multilingual experiences were associated with increased **neuroplasticity**, evidenced by structural and functional adaptations in brain regions crucial for cognitive control, including the prefrontal cortex, anterior cingulate cortex and basal ganglia (Li, Legault, & Litcofsky, 2014; Luk & Pliatsikas, 2016). Enhanced connectivity between these regions suggests that regular multilingual practices strengthen neural pathways essential for higher-order cognitive processes like problem-solving, abstract reasoning and attention regulation (Li & Grant, 2016). Lastly, from an educational perspective, adopting neuroeducation-informed practices such as translanguaging and integrated language instruction allowed learners to actively leverage their multilingual abilities, resulting in greater cognitive engagement, deeper conceptual understanding and overall improved academic outcomes (Antoniou, 2019; García & Wei, 2014). These findings collectively highlight the importance of recognizing multilingualism as a valuable cognitive asset in educational settings, emphasizing neuroscience-informed instructional strategies for optimized learning experiences.

B. Neuroscience-informed Educational Strategies Leveraging Multilingual Learners' Cognitive Advantages

The study identified several neuroscience-informed educational strategies effective in leveraging cognitive advantages of multilingual learners. First, implementing translanguaging—the strategic use of learners' full linguistic

repertoires—supports enhanced cognitive engagement by activating broader neural networks, thus facilitating deeper conceptual understanding and improved executive functioning (García & Wei, 2014; Li et al., 2014). Second, task-based multilingual instruction, which encourages language switching and cognitive flexibility through authentic tasks, reinforces neural adaptability and executive control, capitalizing on the cognitive benefits associated with multilingual experiences (Antoniou, 2019). Finally, integrating explicit instruction focused on enhancing executive functions, such as structured cognitive training activities targeting working memory, inhibitory control and attentional regulation, strengthens multilingual learners' underlying cognitive skills and enhances overall academic achievement (Bialystok, 2017; Poarch & Krott, 2019). These neuroscience-informed strategies collectively provide educators with evidence-based approaches to maximize the cognitive potential inherent in multilingual classrooms.

C. Practical Pedagogical Recommendations for Integrating Multilingualism into Classroom Teaching Based on Neuroscientific Evidence

- **Adopt Translanguaging as a Core Pedagogical Strategy-** Encourage students to draw upon their entire linguistic repertoire when engaging with academic content. Translanguaging not only affirms linguistic identities but also enhances cognitive flexibility by activating multiple neural pathways associated with language processing and executive control (García & Wei, 2014; Li et al., 2014).
- **Design Task-Based Multilingual Activities-** Create classroom tasks that require students to switch between languages or solve problems in different linguistic contexts. This taps into the bilingual advantage by reinforcing cognitive functions such as attention-shifting, working memory and inhibitory control (Bialystok, 2017; Antoniou, 2019).
- **Integrate Executive Function Training into Curriculum-** Embed exercises that enhance working memory, self-regulation and attentional control—skills that are naturally strengthened in multilingual individuals. Activities like dual-language puzzles, memory games and attention-control tasks can further reinforce these functions (Poarch & Krott, 2019).

- **Promote Metacognitive Reflection in Multiple Languages-** Encourage students to reflect on their thinking processes using both their first language (L1) and second language (L2). This dual-language metacognitive approach strengthens neural connectivity and supports deeper learning and self-awareness (Kroll & Bialystok, 2013).
- **Utilize Multilingual Scaffolding Techniques-** Provide instructional support such as bilingual glossaries, dual-language resources and peer collaboration in multiple languages to lower cognitive load and allow students to process complex content more effectively (Luk & Pliatsikas, 2016).
- **Celebrate Linguistic Diversity in the Classroom-** Foster an inclusive environment where multiple languages are visible and valued. Neuroscience supports the idea that positive emotional engagement, identity affirmation and social belonging enhance brain function and learning outcomes (Li & Grant, 2016; Cummins, 2021).
- **Use Multisensory and Multilingual Teaching Aids-** Employ visual, auditory and kinesthetic materials in multiple languages to stimulate different areas of the brain. This enhances memory retention and concept acquisition by engaging multiple sensory modalities (Dubinsky et al., 2013).
- **Encourage Collaborative Learning Across Languages-** Group students strategically to collaborate using different languages. Such interactions not only build social and cultural competence but also stimulate higher-order cognitive processes involved in bilingual communication and problem-solving (Kroll & Dussias, 2017).

Discussion of Results

The findings of this study reinforce the growing body of interdisciplinary research suggesting that multilingualism, when viewed through a neuroeducational lens, offers significant cognitive and academic benefits. One of the key insights emerging from the review is the consistent association between multilingualism and enhanced executive functioning, including cognitive flexibility, working memory and attentional control. These functions are critical not only for language processing but also for general academic tasks such as problem-solving, reasoning and sustained focus (Bialystok, 2017; Poarch & Krott, 2019). The neuroscientific evidence points to structural

and functional brain adaptations—particularly in regions such as the prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex—that occur in response to continuous multilingual language use (Li et al., 2014; Abutalebi & Green, 2016). This study also revealed that neuroplasticity is a central mechanism underlying these cognitive advantages. Regular engagement in multilingual practices appears to reorganize and strengthen neural networks involved in both language and executive control. Such findings are particularly important in educational contexts, as they suggest that multilingual students are neurologically equipped to manage complex learning environments, provided their cognitive assets are recognized and supported. Despite these advantages, the study found that traditional educational systems often fail to capitalize on this potential due to persistent monolingual ideologies and a lack of neuroscience-informed instructional practices. Teachers may inadvertently suppress students' linguistic resources, thereby limiting cognitive engagement and learner identity development (Cummins, 2021). This underscores the importance of shifting pedagogical approaches to those that are more inclusive and brain-compatible. The educational strategies identified in the study—such as translanguaging, task-based multilingual instruction and executive function training—emerged as promising tools for leveraging the cognitive strengths of multilingual learners. These approaches align with neuroscientific evidence indicating that cognitive stimulation across languages enhances brain function and academic performance. Moreover, the use of translanguaging, in particular, was found to engage broader neural pathways, supporting both linguistic expression and conceptual understanding (García & Wei, 2014). Importantly, the discussion also highlights the practical challenges of implementation. While the strategies are grounded in research, their success depends heavily on teacher awareness, training and systemic support. Without professional development opportunities and curriculum frameworks that endorse multilingualism, the benefits identified by neuroscience may remain underutilized in actual classroom settings (Dubinsky et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2019). The discussion of results affirms that multilingualism is not only a linguistic asset but also a powerful cognitive resource. When supported by neuroscience-informed pedagogical strategies, multilingual learners can thrive academically and cognitively. However, realizing this potential requires intentional shifts in teacher practice, curriculum design and educational policy that fully embrace the insights of neuroeducation.

Conclusion

The study highlighted the profound intersection between neuroeducation and multilingualism, emphasizing how multilingual experiences contribute to cognitive enhancement through neuroscientifically supported mechanisms such as increased neuroplasticity, improved executive functioning and strengthened neural connectivity. Drawing from empirical research, it became evident that multilingual learners possess unique cognitive advantages that, when effectively harnessed, can lead to improved academic outcomes and deeper conceptual understanding. However, these benefits are often underutilized in traditional educational settings due to prevailing monolingual norms and a lack of neuroscience-informed pedagogical awareness. By identifying and advocating for neuroscience-informed strategies—such as translanguaging, task-based learning, executive function training and multilingual scaffolding—this study offers practical, evidence-based recommendations for integrating multilingualism into classroom teaching. These strategies not only enhance cognitive engagement but also foster inclusive, affirming learning environments where linguistic diversity is viewed as an asset rather than a challenge. Ultimately, the study reinforces the need for educators, curriculum designers and policymakers to reframe multilingualism through a neuroeducational lens, enabling more effective, equitable and cognitively enriched educational experiences for all learners in an increasingly globalized and linguistically diverse world.

References

- Abutalebi, J., & Green, D. W. (2016). Neuroimaging of language control in bilinguals: Neural adaptation and reserve. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 19(4), 689–698. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728916000225>
- Ansari, D., & Coch, D. (2006). Bridges over troubled waters: Education and cognitive neuroscience. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 10(4), 146–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tics.2006.02.007>
- Antoniou, K. (2019). The advantages of bilingualism debate. *Annual Review of Linguistics*, 5, 395–415. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-linguistics-011718-011820>
- Bak, T. H., Nissan, J. J., Allerhand, M. M., & Deary, I. J. (2014). Does bilingualism influence cognitive aging? *Annals of Neurology*, 75(6), 959–963. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.24158>

Bialystok, E. (2017). *The bilingual adaptation: How minds accommodate experience*. *Psychological Bulletin*, 143(3), 233–262. <https://doi.org/10.1037/bul0000099>

Bialystok, E., Craik, F. I. M., Green, D. W., & Gollan, T. H. (2009). *Bilingual minds*. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest*, 10(3), 89–129. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1529100610387084>

Craik, F. I. M., Bialystok, E., & Freedman, M. (2010). *Delaying the onset of Alzheimer disease: Bilingualism as a form of cognitive reserve*. *Neurology*, 75(19), 1726–1729. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3181fc2a1c>

Cummins, J. (2021). *Rethinking the education of multilingual learners*. *Multilingual Matters*.

Dubinsky, J. M., Roehrig, G., & Varma, S. (2013). *Infusing neuroscience into teacher professional development*. *Educational Researcher*, 42(6), 317–329. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X13499403>

García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, bilingualism and education*. Palgrave Macmillan. <https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137385765>

Howard-Jones, P. A. (2014). *Neuroscience and education: Myths and messages*. *Nature Reviews Neuroscience*, 15(12), 817–824. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3817>

Kroll, J. F., & Bialystok, E. (2013). *Understanding the consequences of bilingualism for language processing and cognition*. *Journal of Cognitive Psychology*, 25(5), 497–514. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20445911.2013.799170>

Kroll, J. F., & Dussias, P. E. (2017). *The benefits of multilingualism to the personal and professional development of residents of the US*. *Foreign Language Annals*, 50(2), 248–259. <https://doi.org/10.1111/flan.12271>

Li, P., & Grant, A. (2016). *Neuroplasticity in language acquisition*. *Linguistic Approaches to Bilingualism*, 6(3), 347–370. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lab.14041.li>

Li, P., Legault, J., & Litcofsky, K. A. (2014). *Neuroplasticity as a function of second language learning: Anatomical changes in the human brain*. *Cortex*, 58, 301–324. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cortex.2014.05.001>

Luk, G., & Pliatsikas, C. (2016). *Converging diversity to unity: Commentary on the neurocognition of bilingualism*. *Linguistic Approaches to Bilingualism*, 6(3), 319–326. <https://doi.org/10.1075/lab.16011.luk>

Poarch, G. J., & Krott, A. (2019). Bilingual language processing and cognition. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*, 22(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1366728918001115>

Thomas, M. S. C., Ansari, D., & Knowland, V. C. P. (2019). Annual Research Review: Educational neuroscience: Progress and prospects. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 60(4), 477–492. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcpp.12973>

